	Case 2:22-cv-00144-TLN-CKD Docume	nt 5 Filed 03/17/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DONNY STEWARD,	No. 2:22-cv-0144 CKD P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	ORDER AND
14	UNKNOWN,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	On February 3, 2022, petitioner was ordered to file a request to proceed in forma pauperis	
18	or pay the appropriate filing fee within thirty days. The thirty-day period has now expired, and	
19	petitioner has not responded to the court's order.	
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Clerk of the Court assign a district court	
21	judge to this case; and	
22	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.	
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
24	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
25	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	
26	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
27	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." In his objections petitioner	
28	may address whether a certificate of appealability should issue in the event he files an appeal of	
		1

Case 2:22-cv-00144-TLN-CKD Document 5 Filed 03/17/22 Page 2 of 2

the judgment in this case. See Rule 11, Federal Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases (the district		
court must issue or deny a certificate of appealability when it enters a final order adverse to the		
applicant). Where, as here, a habeas petition is dismissed on procedural grounds, a certificate of		
appealability "should issue if the prisoner can show: (1) 'that jurists of reason would find it		
debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling;' and (2) 'that jurists of		
reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a		
constitutional right." Morris v. Woodford, 229 F.3d 775, 780 (9th Cir. 2000) (quoting Slack v.		
McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)). Any response to the objections shall be served and filed		
within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file		
objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.		
Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).		
Dated: March 16, 2022 Carop U. Delany		

stew0144.fpf.hab

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE